

# Potential Theory and Nonlinear Elliptic Equations

## Lecture 5

I. E. Verbitsky

University of Missouri, Columbia, USA

Nankai University, Tianjing, China  
June 2021

# Publications

- ① I. Verbitsky, *Bilateral estimates of solutions to quasilinear elliptic equations with sub-natural growth terms*, **Adv. Calc. Var.** (published online, April 2021), DOI: 10.1515/acv-2021-0004
- ② Nguyen Cong Phuc and I. Verbitsky, *BMO solutions to quasilinear equations of  $p$ -Laplace type*, **Ann. Inst. Fourier** (2021, to appear), arXiv:2105.05282
- ③ A. Seesanea and I. Verbitsky, *Finite energy solutions to inhomogeneous nonlinear elliptic equations with sub-natural growth terms*, **Adv. Calc. Var.**, **13** (2020) 53–74.
- ④ S. Quinn and I. Verbitsky, *A sublinear version of Schur's lemma and elliptic PDE*, **Analysis & PDE**, **11** (2018) 439–466.
- ⑤ Dat Tien Cao and I. Verbitsky, *Nonlinear elliptic equations and intrinsic potentials of Wolff type*, **J. Funct. Analysis**, **272** (2017) 112–165.
- ⑥ Dat Tien Cao and I. Verbitsky, *Pointwise estimates of Brezis–Kamin type for solutions of sublinear elliptic equations*, **Nonlin. Analysis**, **146** (2016) 1–19.

## Additional literature

- ① D. R. Adams and L. I. Hedberg, *Function Spaces and Potential Theory*, Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, **314**, Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- ② T. Kilpeläinen, T. Kuusi and A. Tuhola-Kujanpää, *Superharmonic functions are locally renormalized solutions*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire, **28** (2011), 775–795.
- ③ T. Kilpeläinen and J. Malý, *The Wiener test and potential estimates for quasilinear elliptic equations*, Acta Math., **172** (1994), 137–161. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire, **28** (2011), 775–795.
- ④ J. Malý and W. Ziemer, *Fine Regularity of Solutions of Elliptic Partial Differential Equations*, Math. Surveys Monogr., **51**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- ⑤ V. G. Maz'ya, *Sobolev Spaces, with Applications to Elliptic Partial Differential Equations*, 2nd revised augm. ed., Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, **342**, Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- ⑥ X.-J. Wang, *The  $k$ -Hessian Equation*, Lecture Notes Math., **1977**, Springer, Berlin, 2009.

# Finite energy solutions in the Sobolev space $\dot{W}_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $\mu, \sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(\Omega)$ . Let  $0 < q < 1$ .

**Definition.** There exists a positive  $\dot{W}_0^{1,2}$ -solution  $u$  (called **finite energy solution**) to the Dirichlet problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \sigma u^q + \mu & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

if  $u \in \dot{W}_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^q(\Omega, d\sigma)$ ,  $u \geq 0$ , and

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \phi u^q \, d\sigma + \int_{\Omega} \phi \, d\mu, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega). \quad (2)$$

Here  $\dot{W}_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  is the **homogeneous** Sobolev (Dirichlet) space, that is, the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in the norm  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ .

# Existence and uniqueness of finite energy solutions

## Theorem 14 (Seesanea-Verbitsky 2020)

Let  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  Green domain. There exists a solution  $u \in \dot{W}_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  to the equation  $-\Delta u = \sigma u^q + \mu$  if and only if

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{G}\sigma)^{\frac{1+q}{1-q}} d\sigma < +\infty, \quad \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{G}\mu) d\mu < +\infty. \quad (3)$$

Moreover, such a solution  $u \in L^{1+q}(\Omega, \sigma)$ , and is unique.

In the special case  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ), conditions (3) become

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathbf{l}_2\sigma)^{\frac{1+q}{1-q}} d\sigma < +\infty, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathbf{l}_2\mu) d\mu < +\infty, \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{l}_2\sigma = |\cdot|^{2-n} \star \sigma$  is the Newtonian potential of  $\sigma$ .

## A crucial integral inequality: finite energy solutions

It turns out that this problem is closely related to the **trace inequality** (in the **non-classical** case  $1 + q < 2$ ):

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} |\phi|^{1+q} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{1+q}} \leq C \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega, dx)}, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

A capacity characterization [Mazy'a-Netrusov 1995]:

$$\int_0^{\sigma(\Omega)} \left( \frac{t}{\lambda(\sigma, t)} \right)^{\frac{1+q}{1-q}} dt < +\infty,$$

$\lambda(\sigma, t) = \inf\{\mathbf{cap}(E) : \sigma(E) \geq t\}$ ; equivalent to the Green potential condition [Seesanea-V. 2020] (for  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$  [Cascante-Ortega-V. 2000]):

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{G}\sigma)^{\frac{1+q}{1-q}} d\sigma < +\infty.$$

# General solutions

We now focus on general (very weak) solutions to **homogeneous** ( $\mu = 0$ ) equations (1) for  $0 < q < 1$ . For a bounded  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $C^2$  boundary:

$$\int_{\Omega} -u \Delta \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} u^q \phi \, d\sigma, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^2(\bar{\Omega}), \quad (5)$$

where  $u \in L^1(\Omega, dx) \cap L^q(\Omega, \delta_{\Omega} \, d\sigma)$ , is a non-trivial positive solution ( $0 < u < +\infty$   $d\sigma$ -a.e.) Similar definitions are known for Lipschitz  $\Omega$ .

For bounded  $C^2$  domains, Definition (5) is equivalent to:

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} G^{\Omega}(x, y) u^q(y) \, d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \Omega. \quad (6)$$

For arbitrary **Green domains**  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ : we use Definition (6).

**Remark.** We can treat non-homogeneous equations (1) with  $\mu \neq 0$  in **uniform** domains  $\Omega$  in a similar way:  $u = G(u^q d\sigma) + G\mu$ .

## Bounded solutions on $\mathbb{R}^n$

In the case  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ :  $G^{\mathbb{R}^n}(x, y) = c_n |x - y|^{2-n}$ , and

$$u = I_2(u^q d\sigma) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (7)$$

Let  $U(x) := I_2\sigma(x)$  denote the Newtonian potential of  $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

### Theorem (Brezis-Kamin 1992)

Let  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $\sigma \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $\sigma \neq 0$ ). There exists a nontrivial **bounded** solution to equation (7) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} u(x) = 0$  if and only if  $U \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Moreover, such a solution is unique, and satisfies the global estimates:

$$U(x)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \leq u(x) \leq C U(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (8)$$

Both the lower and the upper estimates in (8) are sharp in a sense.

**Remark.** More precise bilateral estimates use new **nonlinear potentials**.



# Extension of the Brezis-Kamin theorem

Homogeneous equations on  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$

## Theorem 15 (Cao-Verbitsky 2016)

Let  $0 < q < 1$  and  $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $\sigma \neq \mathbf{0}$ ). Suppose for a constant  $C$ ,

$$\sigma(F) \leq C \operatorname{cap}(F), \quad \forall \text{ compact sets } F \subset \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (9)$$

Then there exists a nontrivial solution  $u > \mathbf{0}$  to (7) such that  $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} u(x) = \mathbf{0}$ , and for any solution  $u$ ,

$$U(x)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \leq u(x) \leq C \left( U(x) + U(x)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (10)$$

provided  $U \not\equiv +\infty$  (otherwise there is no solution).

**Remarks. 1.** Both estimates are sharp as in the Brezis-Kamin theorem. **2.** The lower estimate holds for any  $\sigma \geq \mathbf{0}$ , without (9). **3.** Condition (9) is weaker than  $U \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and allows **unbounded** solutions  $u$ .

## Weak solutions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ : the radial case

In the radial case  $\sigma$  depends only on  $r = |\mathbf{x}|$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , and

$$U(r) = c_n \left( \frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \int_0^r t^{n-1} d\sigma(t) + \int_r^\infty t d\sigma(t) \right).$$

### Theorem 16 (Cao-Verbitsky 2016)

Let  $0 < q < 1$ . Suppose  $\sigma$  is radial ( $\sigma \neq 0$ ). Then (7) has a nontrivial (radial) solution iff

$$\int_0^1 \frac{t^{n-1} d\sigma(t)}{t^{(n-2)q}} < +\infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_1^{+\infty} t d\sigma(t) < +\infty.$$

Moreover, any solution  $u$  satisfies:

$$u(\mathbf{x}) \approx U(r)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} + \frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \left( \int_0^r \frac{t^{n-1} d\sigma(t)}{t^{(n-2)q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}.$$

## Weak solutions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ : a crucial weighted norm inequality

The problem of the existence of weak solutions to (7) is closely related to the following integral  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{q})$ -inequality in the case  $\mathbf{0} < \mathbf{q} < \mathbf{1}$ : for all  $\phi \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\phi \geq \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\Delta\phi \leq \mathbf{0}$ ,

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi^{\mathbf{q}} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}}} \leq \varkappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta\phi| dx.$$

Equivalently, a weighted norm inequality for Newtonian potentials holds:

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathbf{l}_2\nu)^{\mathbf{q}} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}}} \leq \varkappa \|\nu\|, \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (11)$$

More generally, for the equation  $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u = \sigma u^{\mathbf{q}}$ ,  $\mathbf{0} < \alpha < n$ ,

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathbf{l}_{\alpha}\nu)^{\mathbf{q}} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}}} \leq \varkappa \|\nu\|, \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

By  $\varkappa$  we will denote the least constant in these inequalities.

# Localized integral inequality

We will need a **local version** of the preceding inequality, where the measure  $\sigma = \sigma_B$  is restricted to a ball  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\left( \int_B (I_\alpha \nu)^q d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \varkappa_B \nu(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The least constants  $\varkappa_B$ , where  $B = B(x, r)$ , are used to define a new **intrinsic** potential  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}_\alpha$  of Wolff type,

$$\mathbf{K}\sigma(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{(\varkappa_{B(x,r)})^{\frac{q}{1-q}}}{r^{n-\alpha}} \frac{dr}{r}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (12)$$

# Main Theorem

## Theorem 17 (Cao-Verbitsky 2017)

Suppose  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $0 < q < 1$ . Then (7) has a nontrivial (super) solution  $u$  such that  $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} u(x) = 0$  if and only if the following condition holds:

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\sigma(B(0, r))}{r^{n-2}} \frac{dr}{r} + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{(\mathcal{I}_{B(0, r)})^{\frac{q}{1-q}}}{r^{n-2}} \frac{dr}{r} < +\infty. \quad (13)$$

Moreover, any solution  $u$  to (7) satisfies

$$u(x) \approx \left( I_2 \sigma(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} + \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{(\mathcal{I}_{B(x, r)})^{\frac{q}{1-q}}}{r^{n-2}} \frac{dr}{r}. \quad (14)$$

**Remarks.** 1. The second term is the **intrinsic** nonlinear potential  $\mathbf{K}\sigma(x)$  defined by (12) with  $\alpha = 2$ . 2. The upper estimate in (14) is proved only for the *minimal* solution in [Cao-V. 2017]; for all solutions in [V. 2021].

## Existence of $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}$ solutions (Sobolev regularity)

For the existence of a solution  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , an additional **local** version of the condition for finite energy solutions (Theorem 14) is needed:

$$\int_{B(0,R)} (\mathbf{l}_2 \sigma_{B(0,R)})^{\frac{1+q}{1-q}} d\sigma < \infty, \quad \forall R > 0. \quad (15)$$

### Theorem 18 (Cao-Verbitsky 2017)

*Under the assumptions of the previous theorem, there exists a nontrivial weak (super) solution  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} u(x) = 0$  if and only if (15) holds together with*

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\sigma(B(0,r))}{r^{n-2}} \frac{dr}{r} + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{(\chi_{B(0,r)})^{\frac{q}{1-q}}}{r^{n-2}} \frac{dr}{r} < +\infty.$$

*Moreover, global pointwise estimates (14) hold.*

## Wolff potentials

Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $0 < \alpha < n$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ .

The **Wolff potential**  $\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}\mu$  (more accurately, the Havin-Maz'ya-Wolff potential) is defined by

$$\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}\mu(x) := \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{\mu(B(x, \rho))}{\rho^{n-\alpha p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (16)$$

Recall that in the linear case  $p = 2$  we have  $\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,2}\mu = \mathbf{I}_{2\alpha}\mu$ .

As we will prove below,  $\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}\mu \not\equiv +\infty$  if and only if for  $0 < \alpha < \frac{n}{p}$

$$\int_1^\infty \left( \frac{\mu(B(0, \rho))}{\rho^{n-\alpha p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} < +\infty. \quad (17)$$

- Remarks.** 1. In the special case  $\alpha = 1$ , Wolff potentials  $\mathbf{W}_{1,p}$  play an important role in the theory of quasilinear equations of  $p$ -Laplace type.
2. For  $1 < p < 2 - \frac{\alpha}{n}$ , we may have  $\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}\mu \notin L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ .

# Wolff potential estimates

We start with some useful estimates for Wolff potentials.

## Lemma (Wolff potential estimates)

Suppose  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \frac{n}{p}$ , and  $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $s = \min(1, p - 1)$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $c = c(n, p, \alpha)$  such that, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $R > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} c^{-1} \int_R^\infty \left( \frac{\sigma(B(x, r))}{r^{n-\alpha p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} &\leq \inf_{B(x, R)} W_{\alpha, p} \sigma \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1}{|B(x, R)|} \int_{B(x, R)} [W_{\alpha, p} \sigma(y)]^s dy \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq c \int_R^\infty \left( \frac{\sigma(B(x, r))}{r^{n-\alpha p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$



# Wolff potential estimates

(continuation)

**Proof:** WLOG assume  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . We first prove the last estimate in (18).

Clearly,

$$\frac{1}{|B(\mathbf{0}, R)|} \int_{B(\mathbf{0}, R)} [W_{\alpha, p} \sigma(\mathbf{y})]^s \, d\mathbf{y} \leq I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{|B(\mathbf{0}, R)|} \int_{B(\mathbf{0}, R)} \left( \int_0^R \left( \frac{\sigma(B(\mathbf{y}, r))}{r^{n-\alpha p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \right)^s \, d\mathbf{y},$$
$$I_2 = \frac{1}{|B(\mathbf{0}, R)|} \int_{B(\mathbf{0}, R)} \left( \int_R^\infty \left( \frac{\sigma(B(\mathbf{y}, r))}{r^{n-\alpha p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \right)^s \, d\mathbf{y}.$$

To estimate  $I_2$ , notice that since  $B(\mathbf{y}, r) \subset B(\mathbf{0}, 2r)$  for  $\mathbf{y} \in B(\mathbf{0}, R)$  and  $r > R$ , it follows

$$I_2 \leq \left( \int_R^\infty \left( \frac{\sigma(B(\mathbf{0}, 2r))}{r^{n-\alpha p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \right)^s.$$

# Wolff potential estimates

(continuation)

To estimate  $I_1$ , suppose first that  $p \geq 2$  so that  $s = 1$ . Then using Fubini's theorem and Jensen's inequality we deduce

$$I_1 \leq \int_0^R \left( \frac{1}{|B(0, R)|} \int_{B(0, R)} \sigma(B(y, r)) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r^{\frac{n-\alpha p}{p-1}+1}}.$$

Using Fubini's theorem again, we obtain

$$\int_{B(0, R)} \sigma(B(y, r)) dy \leq \int_{B(0, 2R)} |B(y, r)| d\sigma = c_n r^n \sigma(B(0, 2R)).$$

Hence, there is a constant  $c = c(n, p, \alpha)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq c R^{-\frac{n}{p-1}} \sigma(B(0, 2R))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \int_0^R r^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1}-1} dr \\ &= c R^{\frac{\alpha p - n}{p-1}} \sigma(B(0, 2R))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq c \int_R^\infty \left( \frac{\sigma(B(0, 2r))}{r^{n-\alpha p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

# Wolff potential estimates

(continuation)

Notice that this is the same estimate we deduced for  $I_2$  with  $s = 1$ .

Next, we estimate  $I_1$  for  $p < 2$  and  $s = p - 1$ . In this case, we will use the following elementary inequality: for every  $R > 0$ ,

$$\left( \int_0^R \left( \frac{\phi(r)}{r^\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \right)^{p-1} \leq c(p, \gamma) \int_0^{2R} \frac{\phi(r)}{r^\gamma} \frac{dr}{r},$$

where  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $1 < p < 2$ , and  $\phi$  is a non-decreasing function on  $(0, \infty)$ . By this inequality with  $\phi(r) = \sigma(B(0, 2r))$  and  $\gamma = n - \alpha p$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \frac{c}{|B(0, R)|} \int_{B(0, R)} \int_0^{2R} \frac{\sigma(B(y, r))}{r^{n-\alpha p}} \frac{dr}{r} dy \\ &\leq c R^{-n} \sigma(B(0, 2R)) \int_0^{2R} r^{\alpha p - 1} dr = c R^{-n+\alpha p} \sigma(B(0, 2R)) \\ &\leq c \left( \int_R^\infty \left( \frac{\sigma(B(0, 2r))}{r^{n-\alpha p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \right)^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

# Wolff potential estimates

(continuation)

Combining the estimates for  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ , we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{|B(0, R)|} \int_{B(0, R)} (W_{\alpha, p} \sigma)^s dy \leq c \left( \int_R^\infty \left( \frac{\sigma(B(0, 2r))}{r^{n-\alpha p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \right)^s.$$

Making the substitution  $\rho = 2r$  proves the upper estimate in (18).

To prove the lower estimate of  $W_{\alpha, p} \sigma$ , letting  $r = 2\rho$  we deduce

$$W_{\alpha, p} \sigma(y) \geq 2^{-\frac{n-\alpha p}{p-1}} \int_R^\infty \left( \frac{\sigma(B(y, 2\rho))}{\rho^{n-\alpha p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho}.$$

For all  $y \in B(0, R)$  and  $\rho > R$ , we have  $B(y, 2\rho) \supset B(0, \rho)$ . Hence,

$$\inf_{B(0, R)} W_{\alpha, p} \sigma \geq 2^{-\frac{n-\alpha p}{p-1}} \int_R^\infty \left( \frac{\sigma(B(0, \rho))}{\rho^{n-\alpha p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho}. \quad \square$$

# Wolff potential estimates

(continuation)

## Corollary

Suppose  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \frac{n}{p}$ , and  $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

(i)  $\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}\sigma \not\equiv +\infty$  if and only if

$$\int_1^\infty \left( \frac{\sigma(B(0, r))}{r^{n-\alpha p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} < \infty. \quad (19)$$

(ii) Condition (19) yields

$$\int_R^\infty \left( \frac{\sigma(B(x, r))}{r^{n-\alpha p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} < \infty, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, R > 0. \quad (20)$$

(iii) If (19) holds, then  $\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}\sigma \in L^s_{\text{loc}}(dx)$ , where  $s = \min(1, p - 1)$ , and

$$\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}\sigma(x) = 0. \quad (21)$$

## Wolff's inequality

**Wolff's inequality** was proved by Th. Wolff using a dyadic model of Wolff's potential. It appeared in [Hedberg-Wolff 1983] in relation to the spectral synthesis problem for Sobolev spaces studied by L. I. Hedberg.

Let  $\dot{W}^{-\alpha, p'}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left[ \dot{W}_0^{\alpha, p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \right]^*$  denote the dual Sobolev space, where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \frac{n}{p}$ . Define the  **$(\alpha, p)$ -energy** of  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\alpha, p}(\mu) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (I_\alpha \mu)^{p'} dx = \|\mu\|_{\dot{W}^{-\alpha, p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p'}.$$

Wolff's inequality gives bilateral estimates of  $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha, p}(\mu)$  in terms of  $W_{\alpha, p}\mu$ .

### Theorem (Hedberg-Wolff 1983)

Suppose  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \frac{n}{p}$ , and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then there exists a constant  $C = C(\alpha, p, n)$  such that

$$C^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha, p}(\mu) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W_{\alpha, p}\mu d\mu \leq C \mathcal{E}_{\alpha, p}(\mu). \quad (22)$$

## More general $\mathcal{A}$ -Laplace operators

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set. Let us assume that  $\mathcal{A}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies the following structural assumptions:

$x \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$  is measurable for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$  is continuous for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ ,

and there are constants  $0 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$ , such that for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ , and for all  $\xi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}(x, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq \alpha |\xi|^p, \quad |\mathcal{A}(x, \xi)| \leq \beta |\xi|^{p-1},$$

$$(\mathcal{A}(x, \xi_1) - \mathcal{A}(x, \xi_2)) \cdot (\xi_1 - \xi_2) > 0 \quad \text{if } \xi_1 \neq \xi_2.$$

# $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic solutions

Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\Omega)$ . We consider the equation

$$-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = \mu \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (23)$$

A nonlinear potential theory for the equation with measure right-hand side  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\Omega)$ ,

$$-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = \mu, \quad (24)$$

where  $u$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic, was developed by [Kilpeläinen-Malý '93/94]. They obtained bilateral pointwise estimates of solutions  $u \geq 0$  to (24) in terms of **Wolff potentials**.

**Definition.** A function  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is called  **$\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic** if it satisfies the homogeneous equation  $\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = 0$  in the weak sense. Every  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic function has a continuous representative  $\tilde{u} = u$  a.e.



## $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic functions

Next, define  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic functions via a *comparison principle*:

**Definition.** A function  $u: \Omega \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  is  **$\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic** if  $u$  is *lower semicontinuous*, not identically  $+\infty$  in any component of  $\Omega$ , and, for every open  $D \Subset \Omega$  and  $h \in C(\bar{D})$ , where  $h$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic in  $D$ ,

$$h \leq u \text{ on } \partial D \implies h \leq u \text{ in } D.$$

Some  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic functions  $u \notin W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . However, for  $u \geq 0$ , truncates  $T_k(u) = \min(u, k) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $\forall k > 0$ . Note that

$$-\text{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla T_k(u)) = \mu_k \geq 0, \quad \mu_k \in \mathcal{M}^+(\Omega),$$

in the weak sense. The **generalized gradient**  $Du$  of an  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic function  $u \geq 0$  is defined by

$$Du = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \nabla(T_k(u)).$$

## $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic solutions

**Remark.** Every  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic function  $u$  has a *quasi-continuous* representative  $\tilde{u} = u$  quasi-everywhere (q.e.), that is, everywhere except for a set of  $p$ -capacity zero. We assume that  $u$  is always chosen this way. Moreover,  $u(x) = \liminf_{y \rightarrow x} u(y)$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ .

Let  $u$  be  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic, and let  $1 \leq r < \frac{n}{n-1}$ . Then  $|\mathbf{D}u|^{p-1}$ , and consequently  $\mathcal{A}(x, \mathbf{D}u)$ , belongs to  $L^r_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ . This allows us to define a **nonnegative distribution**  $-\text{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \mathbf{D}u)$  by

$$-\langle \text{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \mathbf{D}u), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \mathbf{D}u) \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx, \quad (25)$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ . Then by the Riesz representation theorem there exists a unique Radon measure  $\mu = \mu(u) \in \mathcal{M}^+(\Omega)$  so that

$$-\text{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \mathbf{D}u) = \mu \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (26)$$

## Renormalized solutions

Consider the equation  $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = \mu$  in  $\Omega$ , where  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\Omega)$ , and  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open set. Let us use the decomposition  $\mu = \mu_0 + \mu_s$ :  $\mu_0$  is absolutely continuous, and  $\mu_s$  is singular with respect to  $p$ -capacity. Let  $T_k(s) = \max\{-k, \min\{k, s\}\}$ .

**Definition.** A function  $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^{(p-1)s}(\Omega, dx)$  for all  $1 \leq s < \frac{n}{n-p}$  is called a *local renormalized solution* if, for all  $k > 0$ ,  $T_k(u) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $Du \in L_{\text{loc}}^{(p-1)r}(\Omega)$  for all  $1 \leq r < \frac{n}{n-1}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, Du), Du \rangle h'(u) \phi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, Du), \nabla \phi \rangle h(u) \phi \, dx \\ = \int_{\Omega} h(u) \phi \, d\mu_0 + h(+\infty) \int_{\Omega} \phi \, d\mu_s, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ , and all  $h \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $h'$  is compactly supported.

# $\mathcal{A}$ -Laplace operators

**Remarks.** It is known [Kilpeläinen et al. 2011] that every  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic solution is a local renormalized solution, and conversely, every local renormalized solution has an  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic representative. One can work either with local renormalized solutions, or equivalently with  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic solutions, or finite energy solutions in the case  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . For finite energy solutions,  $Du$  coincides with the distributional gradient  $\nabla u$ , and  $\mu(u)$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the  $p$ -capacity.

Basic facts of potential theory, including nonlinear potential estimates, and the weak continuity principle, hold for the general  $\mathcal{A}$ -Laplace operator  $\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u)$  under the standard structural assumptions imposed above. Pointwise gradient estimates for  $Du$  and BMO estimates discussed below require some extra assumptions on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

# The Kilpeläinen-Malý theorem (local version)

## Theorem (Kilpeläinen-Malý 1994)

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $B(x, 2R) \subset \Omega$ . Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\Omega)$  and  $1 < p \leq n$ . Under the above structural assumptions on  $\mathcal{A}$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(\alpha, \beta, p, n)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} C^{-1}W_{1,p}^R\mu(x) &\leq u(x) \\ &\leq C \left[ \inf_{B(x,R)} u + W_{1,p}^{2R}\mu(x) \right], \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

for any  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic solution  $u \geq 0$  of the equation

$$-\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = \mu \quad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{28}$$

Here the truncated Wolff potential of  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\Omega)$  is defined by

$$W_{\alpha,p}^R\mu(x) := \int_0^R \left( \frac{\mu(B(x,\rho) \cap \Omega)}{\rho^{n-\alpha p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho}, \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{29}$$

# The Kilpeläinen-Malý theorem (global version)

## Corollary (Kilpeläinen-Malý 1994)

Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $1 < p < n$ . Under the above structural assumptions on  $\mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(\alpha, \beta, p, n)$  such that

$$C^{-1}W_{1,p}\mu(x) \leq u(x) \leq CW_{1,p}\mu(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (30)$$

for any  $p$ -superharmonic solution  $u$  of the equation

$$-\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = \mu \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0. \quad (31)$$

In the case  $p \geq n$  there are no nontrivial solutions to (28) on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Moreover, an  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic solution  $u \geq 0$  exists on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if and only if  $W_{1,p}\mu \not\equiv \infty$ , that is, condition (17) holds [Phuc-Verbitsky 2008].

# Wolff potential estimates

(continuation)

It is easy to see that if  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a weak solution to the equation  $-\text{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = \mu$ , then  $\mu \in W_{\text{loc}}^{-1,p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The converse statement is contained in the next lemma.

## Lemma

*Suppose  $1 < p < n$ , and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{-1,p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $u \geq 0$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic solution to the equation  $-\text{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = \mu$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n, d\mu)$ .*

**Remark.** The proof of the lemma uses Caccioppoli type inequalities and the notion of local renormalized solutions discussed above (see details in [Cao-Verbitsky 2017]).

## BMO solutions

It is immediate from pointwise estimates (30) of solutions  $u$  to (31) that  $u$  is uniformly bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if and only if  $\mathbf{W}_{1,p}\mu$  is uniformly bounded.

We next state recent results (joint with Nguyen Cong Phuc) on **BMO** solutions  $u$  to equation (31).

Recall that  $\mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the space of functions  $u$  of **bounded mean oscillation** in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :  $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and there exists a constant  $C$  so that

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |u - \bar{u}_B| dx \leq C,$$

for all balls  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\bar{u}_B = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B u dx$ .

We will need a class of measures  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying the Frostman type condition

$$\mu(B(x, R)) \leq CR^{n-p}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, R > 0. \quad (32)$$

Notice that  $\text{cap}_p(B(x, R)) = c R^{n-p}$  where  $c = c(p, n)$ .



# BMO solutions

(continuation)

## Theorem 19 (Phuc-Verbitsky 2021)

Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $1 < p < n$ . Then equation (31) has a solution  $u \in \mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if and only if  $\mathbf{W}_{1,p}\sigma \not\equiv \infty$  and condition (32) holds, under certain restrictions on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover, any solution  $u$  to (31) lies in  $\mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if and only if  $\mu$  satisfies (32).

**Remarks. 1.** If  $\mu$  satisfies (32), then actually any solution  $u$  to (31) satisfies the Morrey condition

$$\int_{B(x,R)} |\nabla u|^s dy \leq C R^{n-s}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, R > 0,$$

provided  $1 \leq s < p$ . This yields  $u \in \mathbf{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by Poincaré's inequality.

**2.** The case  $p = 2$  of Theorem 13 is due to [D. Adams 1975], and  $p \geq 2$  to [G. Mingione 2007] (a local version).

## Quasilinear equations with lower order terms

We next consider nontrivial solutions to quasilinear equations of the type

$$-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = \sigma u^q \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (33)$$

for  $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , under the assumption that the  $\mathcal{A}$ -Laplace operator of  $\Delta_p$  type ( $1 < p < +\infty$ ) obeys the conditions on  $\mathcal{A}$  imposed above. We focus on the **sub-natural growth** case  $0 < q < p - 1$ . This is an analogue of the sublinear case  $0 < q < 1$  for  $p = 2$ .

We denote by  $U$  a positive solution to the equation

$$-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla U) = \sigma, \quad \liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} U(x) = 0.$$

Recall that by [Kilpeläinen-Malý 1994],

$$U(x) \approx W_{1,p} \sigma(x) = \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{\sigma(B(x, \rho))}{\rho^{n-p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

# Finite energy solutions

## Theorem 20 (Cao-Verbitsky 2016)

Let  $1 < p < n$  and  $0 < q < p - 1$ . There exists a solution  $u \in \dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to equation (33) if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U^{\frac{(1+q)(p-1)}{p-1-q}} d\sigma < +\infty. \quad (34)$$

Moreover, such a solution  $u \in L^{1+q}(\mathbb{R}^n, \sigma)$  and is unique. There are no nontrivial solutions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if  $p \geq n$ .

**Remark.** Similar results for inhomogeneous equations  $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = \sigma u^q + \mu$  hold. A necessary and sufficient condition for  $u \in \dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is given in [Seesanea-V. 2017]:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (W_{1,p}\sigma)^{\frac{(1+q)(p-1)}{p-1-q}} d\sigma < +\infty, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (W_{1,p}\mu) d\mu < +\infty.$$

# Pointwise estimates of Brezis–Kamin type

## Theorem 21 (Cao-Verbitsky 2016)

Let  $1 < p < n$  and  $0 < q < p - 1$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a positive measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that, for every compact set  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\sigma(F) \leq C \operatorname{cap}_p(F).$$

Then there exists a positive solution  $u$  to (33) such that  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u(x) = 0$ , and

$$C_1 U^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-q}} \leq u \leq C_2 \left( U + U^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-q}} \right),$$

provided  $U \not\equiv +\infty$ . Otherwise there are no nontrivial solutions.

**Remark.** For inhomogeneous equations  $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = \sigma u^q + \mu$ , similar estimates hold if we add  $\mathbf{W}_{1,p} \mu$  to both sides [Verbitsky 2021].

## Pointwise estimates in the general case

We consider the weighted norm inequality

$$\|\mathbf{W}_{1,p}\nu\|_{L^q(\Omega,\sigma)} \leq \varkappa \|\nu\|_{p-1}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (35)$$

For  $B = B(x, r)$ , let  $\varkappa_B$  be the least constant in the localized inequality

$$\|\mathbf{W}_{1,p}\nu\|_{L^q(\Omega,\sigma_B)} \leq \varkappa_B \|\nu\|_{p-1}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (36)$$

Then for any nontrivial solution  $u$  to (33) we have:

$$u(x) \approx (\mathbf{W}_{1,p}\sigma(x))^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-q}} + \int_0^\infty \frac{(\varkappa_{B(x,r)})^{\frac{q(p-1)}{p-1-q}}}{r^{n-p}} \frac{dr}{r}. \quad (37)$$

**Remark.** Similar estimates hold for solutions in the inhomogeneous case  $-\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = \sigma u^q + \mu$ , with  $\mathbf{W}_{1,p}\mu$  on both sides [Verbitsky 2021].

# Existence of weak (renormalized) solutions

## Theorem 22 (Cao-Verbitsky 2017)

Let  $1 < p < n$  and  $0 < q < p - 1$ . Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then there exists a nontrivial (super) solution  $u$  to (33) such that  $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} u(x) = 0$  if and only if the following two conditions hold:

$$\int_1^\infty \left( \frac{\sigma(B(0, r))}{r^{n-p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} < \infty, \quad (38)$$

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{(\chi_{B(0, r)})^{\frac{q(p-1)}{p-1-q}}}{r^{n-p}} \frac{dr}{r} < \infty. \quad (39)$$

In this case any nontrivial solution  $u$  satisfies global estimates (37).

**Remark.** The upper estimate in (37) is proved in [Cao-Verbitsky 2017] for the **minimal** solution only. True for all solutions [Verbitsky 2021].

## Existence of $W_{loc}^{1,p}$ solutions

If we wish to find a solution  $u$  in  $W_{loc}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then an additional local version of the condition for finite energy solutions is needed:

$$\int_B (W_{1,p}\sigma_B)^{\frac{(1+q)(p-1)}{p-1-q}} d\sigma < \infty, \quad (40)$$

for every ball  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

### Theorem 23 (Cao-Verbitsky 2017)

*Under the assumptions of the previous theorem, there exists a weak solution  $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to (33) such that  $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} u(x) = 0$  if and only if conditions (38), (39) and (40) hold. Moreover, global pointwise estimates (37) hold for all nontrivial solutions.*

# Hessian equations and potential estimates

[Trudinger-Wang, 1999; Labutin 2003]

Let  $F_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) be the  $k$ -Hessian operator defined by

$$F_k[u] = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k}, \quad (41)$$

where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix  $D^2u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In other words,  $F_k[u]$  is the sum of the  $k \times k$  principal minors of  $D^2u$ . An upper semicontinuous function  $u : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  is  **$k$ -convex** in  $\Omega$  if  $F_k[q] \geq 0$  for any quadratic polynomial  $q$  such that  $u - q$  has a local finite maximum in  $\Omega$ . A function  $u \in C_{loc}^2(\Omega)$  is  $k$ -convex iff

$$F_j[u] \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

To a  $k$ -convex function  $u$ , we associate a  $k$ -Hessian measure  $\mu$  such that  $F_k[u] = \mu$  in the viscosity sense. The following pointwise estimates hold [Labutin 2003], [Trudinger-Wang 2002] ([Phuc-Verbitsky 2008] on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ):

$$u(x) \approx -W_{\frac{2k}{k+1}, k+1} \mu(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$



# Hessian Equations

Here Wolff's potential is defined by

$$\mathbf{W}_{\frac{2k}{k+1}, k+1} \sigma = \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{\sigma(B(x, r))}{r^{n-2k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \frac{dr}{r}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $k < \frac{n}{2}$ . (There are no nontrivial solutions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if  $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$ .)

Consider the Hessian equation for  $k$ -convex functions  $u$  such that  $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} u(x) = 0$ :

$$F_k[u] = \sigma |u|^q, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (42)$$

in the **sub-natural growth** case  $0 < q < k$ . Then the previous theorems have complete analogues with Wolff's potential  $\mathbf{W}_{\frac{2k}{k+1}, k+1}$  in place of  $\mathbf{W}_{1,p}$  for the  $p$ -Laplacian  $\Delta_p$ .

# Hessian equations

## Theorem 24 (Cao-Verbitsky 2017)

Let  $1 \leq k < \frac{n}{2}$  and  $0 < q < k$ . Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and for every compact set  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\sigma(F) \leq C \operatorname{cap}_k(F) \approx \operatorname{Cap}_{\frac{2k}{k+1}, k+1}(F).$$

Then there exists a positive solution  $u$  to (42) such that  $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} u(x) = 0$ , and

$$C_1 (\mathbf{W}_{\frac{2k}{k+1}, k+1} \sigma)^{\frac{k}{k-q}} \leq -u \leq C_2 \left( \mathbf{W}_{\frac{2k}{k+1}, k+1} \sigma + (\mathbf{W}_{\frac{2k}{k+1}, k+1} \sigma)^{\frac{k}{k-q}} \right),$$

provided  $\mathbf{W}_{\frac{2k}{k+1}, k+1} \sigma \not\equiv +\infty$ . Otherwise there are no nontrivial solutions.

**Remark.** There are complete analogues of the bilateral estimates by means of nonlinear potentials defined in terms of  $\mathcal{H}_B$  in the general case.

# Proof of bilateral pointwise estimates

We now give a proof of bilateral pointwise estimates [Verbitsky 2021],

$$u(x) \approx (W_{1,p}\sigma(x))^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-q}} + \int_0^\infty \frac{(\chi(B(x,r)))^{\frac{q(p-1)}{p-1-q}}}{r^{n-p}} \frac{dr}{r} + W_{1,p}\mu(x), \quad (43)$$

for all nontrivial solutions of the equation

$$-\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = \sigma u^q + \mu \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0, \quad (44)$$

in the case  $0 < q < p - 1$ , where  $\mu, \sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Remark.** A proof of the lower estimate for **all** solutions, along with the upper estimate in (43) in the case  $\mu = 0$  for the **minimal** solution only was provided in [Cao-Verbitsky 2017].

# Proof of bilateral pointwise estimates

## Homogeneous equations

We first consider the case  $\mu = \mathbf{0}$ , that is, nontrivial solutions to the homogeneous equation

$$-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = \sigma u^q \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0. \quad (45)$$

Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \frac{n}{p}$ , and  $0 < q < p - 1$ . Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For simplicity, the Wolff potential  $\mathbf{W}_{\alpha, p} \sigma$  will be denoted by  $\mathbf{W} \sigma$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbf{W} \sigma(x) = \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{\sigma(B(x, t))}{t^{n-\alpha p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (46)$$

We denote by  $\varkappa$  the least constant in the weighted norm inequality

$$\|\mathbf{W} \nu\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, d\sigma)} \leq \varkappa \nu(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (47)$$

## Intrinsic potentials

**Remark.** It is easy to see using the Kilpeläinen-Malý theorem that the embedding constant  $\varkappa$  in the case  $\alpha = 1$  is equivalent to the constant  $\kappa$  in the inequality

$$\|\phi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, d\sigma)} \leq \kappa \|\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla \phi)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, d\sigma)}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad (48)$$

for all  $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic  $\phi \geq 0$  which vanish at  $\infty$ .

We will need a localized version of inequality (47) for  $\sigma_B = \sigma|_B$ , where  $B$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\varkappa(B)$  is the least constant in

$$\|\mathbf{W}\nu\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, d\sigma_B)} \leq \varkappa(B) \nu(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (49)$$

The **intrinsic** potential of Wolff type  $\mathbf{K}\sigma = \mathbf{K}_{\alpha, p, q}\sigma$  is defined in terms of  $\varkappa(B(x, t))$ , the least constant in (49) with  $B = B(x, t)$ :

$$\mathbf{K}\sigma(x) = \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{\varkappa(B(x, t))^{\frac{q(p-1)}{p-1-q}}}{t^{n-\alpha p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (50)$$

## Intrinsic potentials

It is easy to see that  $\mathbf{K}\sigma \not\equiv \infty$  if and only if

$$\int_a^\infty \left[ \frac{\varkappa(\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{0}, t))^{\frac{q(p-1)}{p-1-q}}}{t^{n-\alpha p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty, \quad (51)$$

for any (equivalently, all)  $a > 0$ , provided  $\varkappa(\mathbf{B}) < \infty$  for all balls  $\mathbf{B}$ .

This is similar to the condition  $\mathbf{W}\sigma \not\equiv \infty$ , which is equivalent to

$$\int_a^\infty \left[ \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{0}, t))}{t^{n-\alpha p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty. \quad (52)$$

Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \frac{n}{p}$ , and  $0 < q < p - 1$ . Let us fix  $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We start with some estimates of solutions to the equation

$$u(x) = \mathbf{W}(u^q d\sigma)(x), \quad u \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (53)$$

## Integral equations with Wolff potentials

**Remarks.** In equation (53),  $u < \infty$   $d\sigma$ -a.e. (or equivalently  $u \in L^q_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \sigma)$ ), and also (53) is understood  $d\sigma$ -a.e.

In this case, we can choose a representative  $\tilde{u}$  such that  $\tilde{u} = u$   $d\sigma$ -a.e., defined for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $\tilde{u}(x) := W(u^q d\sigma)(x)$ . Then clearly  $\tilde{u}(x) = W(\tilde{u}^q d\sigma)(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\tilde{u}$  is a solution to (53) defined **everywhere** on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

We will **always** use such representatives, denoted simply by  $u$ , so that (53) is considered everywhere. Our goal is to give bilateral pointwise estimates of solutions to  $u(x) = W(u^q d\sigma)(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  where  $u(x) < \infty$ .

We also treat the corresponding **subsolutions**  $u \geq 0$  such that

$$u(x) \leq W(u^q d\sigma)(x) < \infty, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (54)$$

and **supersolutions**  $u \geq 0$  such that

$$W(u^q d\sigma)(x) \leq u(x) < \infty, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (55)$$

considered  $d\sigma$ -a.e., and at every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  where these inequalities hold.

## Integral equations with Wolff potentials

For any  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $\nu \neq \mathbf{0}$ ) such that  $\mathbf{W}\nu \not\equiv \infty$ , we set

$$\phi_\nu(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{W}\nu(\mathbf{x}) \left( \frac{\mathbf{W}[(\mathbf{W}\nu)^q d\sigma](\mathbf{x})}{\mathbf{W}\nu(\mathbf{x})} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-q}}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (56)$$

where we assume that  $\mathbf{W}\nu(\mathbf{x}) < \infty$ .

Next, for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we set

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) := \sup\{\phi_\nu(\mathbf{x}) : \nu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n), \nu \neq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{W}\nu(\mathbf{x}) < \infty\}. \quad (57)$$

### Theorem 25

Any nontrivial solution  $\mathbf{u} \geq \mathbf{0}$  to (53) satisfies the estimates

$$\mathbf{C} \phi(\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \phi(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (58)$$

where  $\mathbf{C}$  is a positive constant which depends only on  $\mathbf{p}$ ,  $\mathbf{q}$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\mathbf{n}$ .

Moreover, the upper bound in (58) holds for any **subsolution**  $\mathbf{u}$ , whereas the lower bound in (58) holds for any nontrivial **supersolution**  $\mathbf{u}$ .



# Proof of Theorem 25

The proof of Theorem 25 is based on a series of lemmas.

## Lemma 1

Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \frac{n}{p}$ , and  $0 < q < p - 1$ . Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Suppose  $u$  is a subsolution to (53). Then

$$u(x) \leq \phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (59)$$

provided  $W(u^q d\sigma)(x) < \infty$ . In particular, (59) holds  $d\sigma$ -a.e.

**Proof.** Setting  $d\nu = u^q d\sigma$ , we see that  $u(x) \leq W\nu(x) < \infty$ , and consequently  $W\nu(x) \leq W[(W\nu)^q d\sigma](x)$ . Then clearly,

$$\phi_\nu(x) := W\nu(x) \left( \frac{W[(W\nu)^q d\sigma](x)}{W\nu(x)} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-q}} \geq W\nu(x).$$

## Proof of Theorem 25

Hence,

$$u(x) \leq \phi_\nu(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

which yields immediately (59). □

### Lemma 2

Let  $\nu, \sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $C$  which depends only on  $p, q, \alpha$ , and  $n$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} W[(W\nu)^q d\sigma](x) &\leq C (W\nu(x))^{\frac{q}{p-1}} \\ &\times \left[ W\sigma(x) + (K\sigma(x))^{\frac{p-1-q}{p-1}} \right], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

**Proof.** Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\nu \neq 0$  and  $W\nu(x) < \infty$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$W[(W\nu)^q d\sigma](x) = \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{\int_{B(x,t)} (W\nu(y))^q d\sigma(y)}{t^{n-\alpha p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}. \quad (61)$$



## Proof of Theorem 25

For  $y \in B(x, t)$ , we have that  $B(y, r) \subset B(x, 2t)$  if  $0 < r \leq t$ , and  $B(y, r) \subset B(x, 2r)$  if  $r > t$ . Consequently, for  $y \in B(x, t)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} W\nu(y) &= \int_0^t \left[ \frac{\nu(B(y, r))}{r^{n-\alpha p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} + \int_t^\infty \left[ \frac{\nu(B(y, r))}{r^{n-\alpha p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \\ &\leq \int_0^t \left[ \frac{\nu(B(y, r) \cap B(x, 2t))}{r^{n-\alpha p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} + \int_t^\infty \left[ \frac{\nu(B(x, 2r))}{r^{n-\alpha p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \\ &\leq W\nu_{B(x, 2t)}(y) + c W\nu(x), \quad \text{where } c = 2^{\frac{n-\alpha p}{p-1}}. \text{ Hence,} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x, t)} (W\nu(y))^q d\sigma(y) &\leq \int_{B(x, t)} (W\nu_{B(x, 2t)}(y))^q d\sigma(y) \\ &\quad + c^q (W\nu(x))^q \sigma(B(x, t)). \end{aligned}$$

## Proof of Theorem 25

Notice that by (49),

$$\int_{B(x,t)} (\mathbf{W}\nu_{B(x,2t)}(y))^q d\sigma(y) \leq \kappa(B(x,t))^q \nu(B(x,2t))^{\frac{q}{p-1}}.$$

Combining the preceding estimates, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x,t)} (\mathbf{W}\nu(y))^q d\sigma(y) &\leq \kappa(B(x,t))^q \nu(B(x,2t))^{\frac{q}{p-1}} \\ &\quad + c^q (\mathbf{W}\nu(x))^q \sigma(B(x,t)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (61) and the preceding estimate,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{W}[(\mathbf{W}\nu)^q d\sigma](x) \\ &\leq c \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{\kappa(B(x,t))^q \nu(B(x,2t))^{\frac{q}{p-1}}}{t^{n-\alpha p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\quad + c (\mathbf{W}\nu(x))^{\frac{q}{p-1}} \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{\sigma(B(x,t))}{t^{n-\alpha p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} = c(I + II). \end{aligned}$$

# Proof of Theorem 25

By Hölder's inequality with exponents  $\frac{p-1}{p-1-q}$  and  $\frac{p-1}{q}$ , we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{\kappa(B(x, t))^q \nu(B(x, 2t))^{\frac{q}{p-1}}}{t^{n-\alpha p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \\
 &\leq \left( \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{\nu(B(x, 2t))}{t^{n-\alpha p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{q}{p-1}} \\
 &\quad \times \left( \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{\kappa(B(x, t))^{\frac{q(p-1)}{p-1-q}}}{t^{n-\alpha p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{p-1-q}{p-1}} \\
 &= 2^{\frac{q(n-\alpha p)}{(p-1)^2}} (\mathbf{W}\nu(x))^{\frac{q}{p-1}} (\mathbf{K}\sigma(x))^{\frac{p-1-q}{p-1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

# Proof of Theorem 25

Clearly,

$$II = (W\nu(x))^{\frac{q}{p-1}} \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{\sigma(B(x, t))}{t^{n-\alpha p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} = (W\nu(x))^{\frac{q}{p-1}} W\sigma(x).$$

We deduce

$$\begin{aligned} W[(W\nu)^q d\sigma](x) &\leq c(I + II) \\ &\leq c (W\nu(x))^{\frac{q}{p-1}} \left[ W\sigma(x) + (K\sigma(x))^{\frac{p-1-q}{p-1}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (60). □

# Proof of Theorem 25

## Lemma 3

Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \frac{n}{p}$ , and  $0 < q < p - 1$ . Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then there exist positive constants  $C_1, C_2$  which depend only on  $p, q, \alpha$  and  $n$  such that

$$C_1 \phi(x) \leq (\mathbf{W}\sigma(x))^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-q}} + \mathbf{K}\sigma(x) \leq C_2 \phi(x), \quad (62)$$

where the lower estimate holds for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , whereas the upper estimate holds provided  $\mathbf{W}\sigma(x) < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{K}\sigma(x) < \infty$ .

If  $\mathbf{W}\sigma \not\equiv \infty$  and  $\mathbf{K}\sigma \not\equiv \infty$ , then  $\phi < \infty$   $d\sigma$ -a.e., and the upper estimate in (62) holds  $d\sigma$ -a.e.

## Proof of Theorem 25

**Proof.** To prove the upper estimate in (62), notice that, if  $\mathbf{W}\sigma \not\equiv \infty$  and  $\mathbf{K}\sigma \not\equiv \infty$ , it follows from [Cao-V. 2017], Theorem 4.8, that there exists a (minimal) solution  $\mathbf{u}$  to (53) such that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 \left[ (\mathbf{W}\sigma(\mathbf{x}))^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-q}} + \mathbf{K}\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \right] &\leq \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &\leq c_2 \left[ (\mathbf{W}\sigma(\mathbf{x}))^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-q}} + \mathbf{K}\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \right], \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are positive constants which depend only on  $p, q, \alpha$  and  $n$ . The lower bound in (63) holds for any nontrivial supersolution  $\mathbf{u}$  as was shown in [Cao-V. 2017], Theorems 4.8,  $d\sigma$ -a.e., and in fact at every  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  where  $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{u}^q d\sigma)(\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$ , as is clear from the proof. For the **minimal** solution  $\mathbf{u}$ , we have  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{u}^q d\sigma)(\mathbf{x}) < \infty$ , provided  $\mathbf{W}\sigma(\mathbf{x}) < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{K}\sigma(\mathbf{x}) < \infty$ , by the upper estimate in (63).



## Proof of Theorem 25

Thus, by Lemma 1 and the lower bound in (63), we deduce

$$c_1 \left[ (\mathbf{W}\sigma(x))^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-q}} + \mathbf{K}\sigma(x) \right] \leq u(x) \leq \phi(x).$$

If  $\mathbf{W}\sigma \not\equiv \infty$  and  $\mathbf{K}\sigma \not\equiv \infty$ , then as indicated above, there exists a solution  $u$  to (53) such that  $u = \mathbf{W}(u^q d\sigma) < \infty$   $d\sigma$ -a.e., and (63) holds  $d\sigma$ -a.e. It follows that  $\mathbf{W}\sigma < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{K}\sigma < \infty$   $d\sigma$ -a.e., and hence  $\phi < \infty$   $d\sigma$ -a.e. by the lower estimate in (62) (Lemma 2). Letting  $d\nu = u^q d\sigma$ , we deduce  $u \leq \phi_\nu \leq \phi$   $d\sigma$ -a.e., so that (62) holds  $d\sigma$ -a.e. as well. The proof of Lemma 3 is complete.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 25.** The upper bound in (58) for any subsolution  $u$  follows from Lemma 1, whereas the lower bound for any nontrivial supersolution  $u$  follows from the lower bound in (63) and Lemma 3:

$$u(x) \geq c_1 \left[ (\mathbf{W}\sigma(x))^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-q}} + \mathbf{K}\sigma(x) \right] \geq c_1 C_1 \phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$